Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,348	HEWETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) *Robert P. Swiatek*.

(2) *Mr. William M. Lee Jr.*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *12 January 2006*

Time: --

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:
45, 57 (new)

Prior art documents discussed:
Houlihan (US 5,322,159)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicants' representative authorized cancelation of claim 45, creation of new claim 57 reciting both a cage and the right-angled item of cage furniture, and payment through a deposit account for a one-month extension of time. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)